LABOR COMPLIANCE PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT

Format for Approved Program that contracts with Awarding Bodies to provide labor compliance enforcement

Report for the reporting period 04/01/2010 to 06/30/2010 (mm/dd/yyyy)

1. Name of Labor Compliance Program (LCP):		
Kerr	n County Superintendent of Schools	
2. LCP I.D. Number (assigned by DIR): 2003.0014	3. Date of Initial Approval: April 14, 2003	
-	elephone, fax, and e-mail, if available): ices, 1300 17th Street, City Centre, 6th Floor, Bakersfield, CA 9330 661/ 636-4252	ı 1
enforcement. If none, please proceed directly	ort as well as any other Awarding Bodies with whom the LCP currently has a contract to provide control to item 7 and provide all requested information. Then complete the information below, and sign as P Special Assistant455 Golden Gate Avenue, 10 th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102. Please see attached listing's	
What suggestions do you have for the Departmen necessary):	nt of Industrial Relations to better assist you with your program in the coming year? (attach addition	al sheets if
SUBMITTED BY: Signature	Jenny Hannah, Chief Facilities Officer Name and Title Date	_

Kern County Superintendent of Schools Labor Compliance Program Interim/Supplemental Adjustment Report Project List 4/1/2010 to 6/30/2010

Item 5 Supporting Documentation

List of Awarding Bodies:

	LCP ID	School District	Address	Phone No.	Contact
1	None	Bakersfield City SD	1300 Bakers St, Bakersfield, CA 93305	661/631-5885	Robert VanTassel, Facilities
2	None	Buttonwillow Union SD	400 McKittrick Hwy, Buttonwillow, CA 93206	661/ 764-5166	Dr. James Murphy, Supt.
3	None	Delano Union SD	1405 12th St., Delano, CA 93215	661/ 721-5000	Dr. Robert Aguilar, Supt.
4	None	Di Giorgio SD	19405 Buena Vista Blvd., Arvin, CA 93203	661/ 854-2604	Lomar Boatman, Supt.
5	None	Elk Hills SD	501 Kern St, Tupman, CA 93276	661/ 765-7431	Scott Meier, Supt.
6	None	Kern Community College Dist.	2100 Chester Ave., Bakersfield, CA 93301	661/ 336-5174	Randy Patterson, Dir. of Const.
7	None	Kern High School District	5801 Sundale Ave., Bakersfield, CA 93309	661/ 827-3122	Richard Ruiz, Dir. Business Svs.
8	None	Lompoc Unified SD	1301 North A St., Lompoc, CA 93438	805/ 742-3200	Patrick Sayne, Acting Asst Supt.
9	None	Lost Hills Union SD	21109 Paso Robles Hwy., Lost Hills, CA 93249	661/ 797-2626	Harrison Favereaux, Dir. Business Svs.
10	None	McFarland Unified SD	601 Second St., McFarland, CA 93250	661/ 792-3081	Gabriel McCurtis, Supt.
11	None	Richland SD	331 Shafter Rd, Shafter, CA 93263	661/ 746-8600	Dr. Kenneth Bergevin, Supt.
12	None	Rosedale Union SD	2553 Old Farm Rd, Bakersfield, CA 93312	661/ 588-6000	John Mindiburu, Asst Supt.
13	None	Santa Maria Joint Union SD	2560 Skyway Dr., Santa Maria, CA 93455	805/ 922-4573	Gary Wuitschick, Facilities Planner
14	None	Ukiah Unified SD	925 N State St, Ukiah, CA 95482	707/ 463-5221	Dr. Lois Nash, Supt.

KCSOS LCP Interim/Supplemental Adjustment Report Project List April 1, 2010 to June 30, 2010

	District	Site-Project	In Progress Value	Completed Value	Open	Closed	District Total
1	Bakersfield City SD	Chipman Jr. High - Modernization	1 1	\$2,953,985.00	T T	1	
		Eissler Elem - Modernization		\$1,864,096.00		1	
		Munsey - Site Improvements	\$527,500.00		1		
		Evergreen - Modernization	\$2,225,000.00		1		
		Evergreen - Permenant Modular Classrooms	\$974,130.19		1		\$16,122,271.19
		Evergreen - Abatement	\$125,000.00		1		
		College Heights - Permenant Modular Classrooms	\$2,852,560.00		1		
		Fremont - Permenant Modular Classrooms	\$1,600,000.00		1		
		Garza - Permenant Modular Classrooms	\$3,000,000.00		1		
2	Buttonwillow Union SD	Buttonwillow SD - Resource Center		\$1,724,650.00		1	\$2,178,403.00
		Buttonwillow SD - Campus Wide Modernization	\$453,753.00		1		Ψ <u>2,770,400.00</u>
3	Delano Union SD	La Vina Middle - Bldg K	\$2,544,615.00		. 1		\$2,544,615.00
4	Di Giorgio SD	Di Giorgio Elem Modernization	\$800,000.00		1		\$800,000.00
5	Elk Hills SD	Elk Hills School Modernization		\$3,379,000.00		1	\$3,379,000.00
6	Kern Community College Dist.	Porterville College Wellness Center	\$6,000,000.00		1		\$40,000,000,00
	<u> </u>	Cerro Coso College Science Bldg Mod.	\$4,000,000.00		1		\$10,000,000.00
7	Kern High School District	Mira Monte High School (New Const)		\$73,479,876.00		1	
		Arvin High School (Mod)	\$16,126,031.00		1		\$103,496,511.00
		East High School (Mod)	\$13,890,604.00		1		
8	Lompoc Unified SD	Los Berros Site Work	\$160,000.00		1		£420,000,00
		Cabrillo HS Site Work	\$263,000.00		1		\$423,000.00
9	Lost Hills Union SD	District Office - New Const.	\$1,783,504.65		1		
		Lost Hills Elem - 6 classroom addition	\$1,234,718.63		1		\$4,026,169.28
		A.M. Thomas MS - 4 classroom addition	\$1,007,946.00		1		
10	McFarland Unified SD	McFarland HS - New 2 story Bldg	\$3,080,434.00		1		\$3,080,434.00
11	Richland SD	Redwood Elem Modernization	\$4,020,932.00		1		\$4,020,932.00
12	Rosedale Union SD	Rosedale MS Multi-Purpose Bldg	\$4,526,319.00		1		\$4,526,319.00
13	Santa Maria Joint HSD	Delta High "Continuation" School	\$4,570,575.26		1		\$4,570,575.26
14	Ukiah Unified SD	Ukiah High School Modernization, Phase II		\$12,500,000.00		1	\$12,500,000.00
	losed Projects During Period	and the second s	\$75,766,622.73	\$87,704,526.00	23	3	\$171,668,229.73
	Prior Rpt / Current Enforcement A	ctivity	φ10,100,022.13	\$8,197,081.00	23	3	Ψ171,000,228.73
JIVSGU	. not rept / outlook Emotociticall /	Page 1 of 1	\$171,668			3	
		raye (0) (φ171,000	1,44 0 .10		۳۵	

*	_	*		-	\sim
8.		₩.	. Д	~	

Awarding Body covered in this	vities (provide all information reques report). City School District	sted, attaching as m	any sheets as neces	ssary, and <i>please comple</i>	te separate forms for each
A. List projects handled by LCP					
Project Name	Bid Advertise	ment Date	Prime C	ontractor	Contract Amount
See Attached Wor	ksheet				See Attached Worksheet
Total					\$16,122,271.19
B. Summary of all wages and pe	nalties assessed and/or recovered.				
Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Eissler Elem Modernization	Prime Painting Contractors	\$3,466.83	Pending	✓Yes No	Underpayment of benefits, OT, & Travel
Chipman Elem Modernization	Prime Painting Contractors	\$7,683.52	Pending	✓Yes □No	Underpayment of benefits, OT, & Travel
				Yes No	
_				Yes No	
				Yes No	
				Yes No	
Total		\$11,150.35	0.00		

Bakersfield City School District

6 LC 1771.5 enforcement activities (CONTINUED)
A. List projects handled by LCP within the last 12 months.

Project Name		Prime Contractor		Contract Amount
Chipman Jr. High - Modernization	6/12/2007	JTS Construction		\$2,953,985.00
			Sub-Total	\$2,953,985.00
Eissler Elem - Modernization	7/5/2007	Colombo Construction		\$1,864,096.00
			Sub-Total	\$1,864,096.00
Evergreen Modernozation	3/30/2010	Omega Construction		\$2,225,000.00
			Sub-Total	\$2,225,000.00
Evergreen Elem - Modular				
Classrooms (PMC's)	4/27/2009	Spring Mountain Industries		\$417,388.70
		Hard Rock Construction		\$72,812.00
		Tumblin		\$483,929.49
			ଞାଜ୍ୟାତାଣ	\$974,130.19
Evergreen Abatement	3/30/2010	Asbestos Control Testing		\$125,000.00
			Sub-ilotal	\$125,000.00
Munsey Elem - Site Improvements	10/20/2009	JTS Construction		\$527,500.00
			Sub-Total	\$527,500.00
College Heights - Modular				
Classrooms (PMC's)	3/10/2020	Don Kinzel Const		\$2,852,560.00
			Sub-Total	\$2,852,560.00
Fremont Elem - Modular				
Classrooms (PMC's)	3/10/2020	JTS Construction		\$1,600,000.00
The parties of the control of the co			Sub-Total	\$1,600,000.00
Garza Elem - Modular Classrooms				
(PMC's)	3/31/2020	JTS Construction		\$3,000,000.00
			Sub-Total	
			Total	\$16,122,271.19

Projec	t Name	Amount .	Assessed	Amo Recov				Explanation	n		
Bakersfield City	y School Distric	t 0.0	00	0.0	0						
Γotal		0.0	00	0.0	0						
. For any amount	identified in iten	B for which	approval of fo	orfeiture	was re	equested from	the Labor Comm	issioner, pleas	e provide the f	ollowing:	
Project		Amount	Assessed	••••				Amount	Recovered		
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wa	ges	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
Eissler Elem		0.00		\$3,46	6.83	\$3,466.83					Pending
Chipman Elem		\$1,800.00	\$500.00	\$5,30	04.64	\$7,683.52					Pending
Fotal		\$1,800.00	\$500.00	\$8,77	 71.47	\$11,150.35					0.00
Identify cases the	at are or were the	subject of LO	∑ § 1742 proc	eedings.	Re	covery Amou	ints are Pendin	g - Contractor	requested a f	ormal hearing	
Projec	t Name		Contractor			Nature of Viol	lation	ODL Case	e#	Current S	Status
Eissle	r Elem	Prime Pa	ainting Cont	ractors	Underpa	ayment of benefits	s, OT, & Travel	10-0074-P	WH	Pending Se	ttlement
Chipma	an Elem	Prime Pa	ainting Conti	ractors	Underpa	ayment of benefits	s, OT, & Travel	10-0073-P	WH	Pending Se	ttlement
Did you refer an Please check one: If yes, identify a	y contractor to the	✓No			-	·					
. Did you refer an	y apprenticeship	violation to the		f Apprer	nticeshi	ip Standards (I	DAS)?				

T.	C	D.	. 4	R	3
			_	ш.	•

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement ac Awarding Body covered in this	s report).	_	ed, attaching a	s many sheets as neces	ssary, and <i>please comple</i>	te separate forms for each
Awarding Body: Buttonwil	low School Dis	strict				
A. List projects handled by LC	P within the past 12	months.				
Project Nan	ne	Bid Advertiser	nent Date	Prime C	ontractor	Contract Amount
See Attached Wo	orksheet					See Attached Worksheet
			+			
Total				A STATE OF THE STA	Note that is a second of the	\$453,753.00
B. Summary of all wages and p	penalties assessed an	d/or recovered.				
Project Name	Affected ((who directly wor	employed the	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
None					Yes No	1.000
					Yes No	L. L. MARCO TOTAL CONTEST
					Yes No	1
					Yes No	
					Yes No	
					Yes No	
Total			0.00	0.00		

C. For any amoun	nt identified in iter	n B for which	approval of	forfeiture no	ot requested fi	rom th	e Labor Commi	ssioner, pleas	e explain below	7.	
Proje	ect Name	Amount	Assessed	Amoun Recovere	I			Explanation	n		
Buttonwillow	v School District	0.	00	0.00							
Total		0.	00	0.00							
D. For any amoun	nt identified in iter	n B for which	approval of	forfeiture w	as requested:	from t	he Labor Comm	issioner, pleas	se provide the f	following:	
Project		Amount	Assessed					Amount	Recovered		
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	3 Wage	s Tota	ıl	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
NA											<u> </u>

Total						***************************************			:		
E. Identify cases t	hat are or were th	e subject of Lo	C § 1742 pro	oceedings.	l .						_1
-	ect Name	-	Contractor		Nature o	f Viol	ation	ODL Case	e #	Current S	 Status
	NA										
											
T 70:1							I				
F. Did you refer a	F		missioner fo	or debarment	per LC § 17	//.1?					
Please check one:	Yes	✓No									
If yes, identify	affected contract	or(s) or subco	ntractor(s) a	nd date(s) of	referral:						
G. Did you refer a	any apprenticeship	violation to the	he Division	of Apprentic	eship Standa	rds (D	AS)?				
Please check one:	Yes	√ N	0								
	affected contract			nd date(s) of	referral·						
ir juo, identiti		01(0) 01 04000	and cortor a	u(b) 01							

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement Awarding Body covered in t		nformation reques	ted, attaching as a	nany sheets as nece	ssary, and <i>please comple</i>	te separate forms for each
Awarding Body:	on Elementary School D	istrict				
A. List projects handled by I	CP within the past 12	months.				
Project N	ame	Bid Advertise	ment Date	Prime C	Contractor	Contract Amount
La Vina Middle So	hool - Bldg K	1/28/	10	Colombo (Construction	\$2,544,615.00
Total						\$2,544,615.00
B. Summary of all wages and	d penalties assessed and	d/or recovered.				
Project Name	Affected C (who directly work	employed the	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
None					Yes No	
					Yes No	
					Yes No	***
					Yes No	
					Yes No	1.1. SHE SECTION
					Yes No	
Total			0.00	0.00		

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following: Project Amount Assessed Amount Recovered Name LC §1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA Total Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current NA	Explanation	Amount Recovered	Assessed	Amount .	ne	Projec
Total 0.00 0.00 2. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following: Project Amount Assessed Amount Recovered		0.00	00	0.0	ool District	elano Union
Project Amount Assessed Amount Recovered Name LC §1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?					Bldg K	La Vina N
For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following: Project Amount Assessed Amount Recovered LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA NA Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current NA ODL Case # Current						
Project Amount Assessed Amount LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA Ottal		0.00	00	0.0	· .	ıl
Name LC §1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA Total Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes		eiture was req			ified in item B	
NA Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current		Wages			81776(a) I	
Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes ✓ No	Total EC § 1770(g) EC § 1773 EC § 1813 Wages Total	wages				
Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes ✓ No						
Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check onc:			***************************************			 ıl
NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes No	<u></u>	dings.	C § 1742 proce	ubject of LO	or were the su	entify cases th
Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes Vo	Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current Status	N	Contractor		ne	Projec
Please check one: Yes Vo						١
Please check one: Yes Vo						
Please check one: Yes Vo						
If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	LC § 1777.1?	barment per L	nissioner for d			•
	erral:	ate(s) of refer	tractor(s) and	s) or subcor	ed contractor(yes, identify
. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?	p Standards (DAS)?	pprenticeship	ne Division of	olation to th	prenticeship vi	d you refer ar
Please check one: Yes Vo			`	√ No	Yes	anna chaob anna

LC	R:	3

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement a Awarding Body covered in the Awarding Body: Awarding Body: A. List projects handled by L	is report). gio School Dis	trict	ted, attaching as 1	nany sheets as nece	ssary, and <i>please complet</i>	e separate forms for each
Project Na		Bid Advertises	ment Date	Prime C	Contractor	Contract Amount
Di Giorgio Elem M	Di Giorgio Elem Modernization)9	Langto	n Wayne	\$800,000.00
Total					2	\$800,000.00
B. Summary of all wages and	penalties assessed ar	ıd/or recovered.				
Project Name	(who directly	Contractor employed the ker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
None	_				Yes No	
					☐Yes ☐No	
					Yes No	
		1	***************************************		Yes No	
					Yes No	
					Yes No	
Total			0.00	0.00		

DiGiorgio Elem Modernization 0.00 0.00 0.00 Total 0.00 0.00 D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following: Project Amount Assessed Amount Recovered Amount LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA NA C § 1776 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA Total C § 1776 Roy C § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current St NA F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes No In yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	Proje	ct Name	Amount	Assessed	Amount Recovered			Explanatio	n		
D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following: Project Amount Assessed Amount Recovered Name LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA Total E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current St NA E. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes No If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	DiGiorgio Ele	m Modernizatio	on 0.	00	0.00						
D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following: Project Amount Assessed Amount Recovered Name LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA Total E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current St NA E. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes No If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	-										
D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following: Project Amount Assessed Amount Recovered Name LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA Total E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current St NA E. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes No If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:											
Project Amount Assessed Amount Recovered Name	Total		0.	00	0.00						
Name LC §1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages Total LC § 1776(g) LC § 1775 LC § 1813 Wages NA Total Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current St NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes No If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	. For any amoun	t identified in iter	m B for which	approval of	forfeiture was re	quested from	the Labor Comm	nissioner, pleas	se provide the fo	ollowing:	
NA NA	Project										
Total I. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current St NA I. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes No If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:		LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current St NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes No If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	NA										
Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current St NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes No If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:											
Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current St NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes No If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	1001						<u></u>				
NA Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes Voo If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	. Identify cases t	hat are or were th	e subject of Lo	C § 1742 pro	ceedings.						
Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1? Please check one: Yes Vo If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	Proje	ct Name		Contractor		Nature of Vi	olation	ODL Case	e #	Current S	tatus
Please check one: Yes Vo If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:		NA									
Please check one: Yes Vo If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:											
Please check one: Yes Vo If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:											
Please check one: Yes Vo If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:							_		 		
If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral:	Did you refer a	<u></u>		missioner fo	r debarment per	LC § 1777.1	?				
		—									
	If yes, identify	affected contract	tor(s) or subcor	ntractor(s) a	nd date(s) of refe	erral:					
. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?	. Did you refer a	ny apprenticeship	p violation to the	he Division	of Apprenticeshi	p Standards ((DAS)?				
Please check one: Yes Vo				_							

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement acting Awarding Body covered in this Awarding Body: Elk Hills	report). School District	-	ted, attaching as m	any sheets as neces	ssary, and <i>pi</i>	lease comple	ete separate forms for each
A. List projects handled by LCP			· ·				
Project Name		Bid Advertise	ment Date	Prime C	ontractor		Contract Amount
Elk Hills Moderni	zation	5/4/20	00/	JTS Cor	nstruction		\$3,379,000.00
		······································					
Total							\$3,379,000.00
B. Summary of all wages and pe	nalties assessed and/or r	recovered.					
Project Name	Affected Contro (who directly empl worker)		Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?		Description of Violation
Elk Hills Modernization	Prime Painting Contr	actors, Inc.	\$5,486.87	Pending	✓Yes	□No	Underpayment of benefits, OT, & Travel
					Yes	 No	
					Yes	No	- Annual Annex
					Yes	□No	
					Yes	_ <u></u>	
					Yes	 No	
Total			\$5,486.87	0.00			

Projec	et Name	Amount	Assessed	Amount Recovered			Explanatio	n		
1	NΑ	0.1	00	0.00						
Total		0.	00	0.00						
D. Fan ann ann ann		D fam multiple	1 - 6 6	· C.:		4b - X -b O	.::1		- 11	
D. For any amount	identined in iten			orieiture was i	requested from	the Labor Comm			ollowing:	
Project Name	LC §1776(g)	Amount LC § 1775	Assessed LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	Amount LC § 1775	Recovered LC § 1813	Wages	Total
Elk Hills Mod	20 31.70(g)	0.00	0.00	\$5,444.37		20 g 1770(g)	20 3 1770	20 3 1012	,, ageo	Pending
				1 '		· · · · ·				Pending
Total		0.00	0.00	\$5,444,37	\$5,444.37					0.00
				1	1	I	<u> </u>			
E. Identify cases th	at are or were the	e subject of LO	C § 1742 proc	eedings.	Recovery Amou	ınts are Pendin	g - Contracto	r requested a f	ormal hearing)
Projec	et Name		Contractor		Nature of Vio	lation	ODL Case	e#	Current S	Status
Elk Hills M	odernization	Prime Pa	ainting Conti	ractors Under	payment of benefits	s, OT, & Travel	10-0075-P	WH	Pending Se	ttlement
- D:1		T 1 (2)		1.1	Y C 0 1777 10			•		
F. Did you refer ar		_	missioner for	deparment pe	r LC § 1777.17					
	Yes	✓No								
Please check one:	affected contract	or(s) or subcor	ntractor(s) and	d date(s) of re	ferral:					
Please check one: If yes, identify										
If yes, identify										
		violation to the		f Apprenticesl	nip Standards (I	DAS)?				

Elk Hills School District

Training Fee Recovery

Apprenticeship Violations Item G

			Explanation /	Submitted	Recovery	Recovery	District
Project	Contractor	Trade	Details	to DAS	Date	Amount	Total
Elk Hills Elementary Modernization	Prime Painting Contractors	Re-Painter	Underpayment of training - Amt Approved DLSE.	No	Pending	42.50	
							42.50

Pending LC Section 1742 Proceeding's

r	an	-	*	•
1.	LP.	-/4	ж	- 1

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement a Awarding Body covered in the	his report).	-	ed, attaching as n	nany sheets as nece	ssary, and <i>please complete</i>	e separate forms for each
Awarding Body: Kern Com	nmunity College Dis	trict ——				
A. List projects handled by L	CP within the past 12 n	onths.				
Project Na	ıme	Bid Advertisen	nent Date	Prime C	Contractor	Contract Amount
Porterville College W		5/1/08		Mark Wilson	Construction	\$6,000,000.00
Cerro Coso College Scient	ence Building Mod	5/5/08	3	Colombo C	Construction	\$4,000,000.00
Total						\$10,000,000.00
						Ψ10,000,000.00
B. Summary of all wages and	penalties assessed and	or recovered.				
Project Name	Affected Co (who directly e work	mployed the	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
NA					Yes No	W. W. L. C.
					Yes No	
					Tyes No	
				+		
					Yes No	
					Yes No	
					□Yes □No	
Total			0.00	0.00		

Kern Comm College Dist.	ect Name	Amount	Assessed	Amount Recovered			Explanatio	<u>:11</u>		
Kern Com	m College Dist.	0.	00	0.00						
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Total		0.	00	0.00						
). For any amou	nt identified in iter	n B for which	approval of f	orfeiture was re	quested from	the Labor Comm	issioner, pleas	se provide the fo	ollowing:	
Project		Amount	Assessed					Recovered		
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
NA										
Total										
. Identify cases	that are or were th	e subject of L0	C § 1742 proc	eedings.				<u> </u>		
	ect Name	-	Contractor		Nature of Vi	olation	ODL Case	e #	Current S	tatus
	NA									
		L		· ·	• •	······································				
	any contractor to the		missioner for	debarment per l	LC § 1777.1	?				
. Did you refer										
. Did you refer	Yes	✓No								
Please check one:	Yes y affected contract		ntractor(s) and	d date(s) of refe	rral:					
Please check one: If yes, identif	y affected contract	or(s) or subcor								
Please check one: If yes, identif	—	or(s) or subcor				DAS)?				

			_	_
7	~ī	2. Л	D	~
_	ட	-2	ж	o

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement act Awarding Body covered in this Awarding Body: Kern Hig	-	quested, attaching as	many sheets as nece	ssary, and <i>please compl</i>	ete separate forms for each
A. List projects handled by LCF					
Project Nam	e Bid Adve	rtisement Date	Prime C	Contractor	Contract Amount
See attached wor	ksheet				
2 pages					
Total					\$30,016,635.00
B. Summary of all wages and pe	enalties assessed and/or recovered	l.			
Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
East High School	PARC Environmenta	al \$420.04	\$420.04	☐Yes ✓No	Misunderstanding of 4/10 rule
				☐Yes ☐No	
				Yes No	
		, 2010 1140 1140 2		Yes No	
				☐Yes ☐No	
				Yes No	
Total		\$420.04	\$420.04		

Kern High School District

6 LC 1771.5 enforcement activities (CONTINUED)
A. List projects handled by LCP within the last 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisment Date	Bid Number	Prime Contractor	Contr	act Amount
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2105	APC Contractors, Inc.	\$	633,000.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2107	Medford Const.	\$	688,500.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2108	Cuyamaca Const.	\$	685,000.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2109	Frye Const.	\$	1,144,000.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2112	Kern Glass & Aluminum	\$	485,500.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2113	A-C Electric	\$	5,827,536.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2114	Journey Air Cond. Co.	\$	857,363.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2116	Sierra School Equip.	\$	771,200.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2119	Everlast Painting Co.	\$	126,000.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2120	Garcia Roofing, Inc.	\$	74,000.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2121	Visalia Ceramic Tile, Inc.	\$	188,215.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2122	Alcal Arcade, DBA Insulcom	\$	64,700.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2124	Western Building Materials	\$	170,706.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2125	Lozano Caseworks, Inc.	\$	511,700.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2126	Systems Concepts, Inc.	\$	169,778.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2128	Donald M. Hoover, Inc.	\$	100,555.00
Arvin HS Modernization	5/19/2008	2129	Robert B. Moss, Jr.	\$	102,202.00
Arvin HS Modernization	6/23/2008	2134	American Air Balance, Co.	\$	128,975.00
Arvin HS Modernization	6/23/2008	2136	Frye Const.	\$	440,000.00
Arvin HS Modernization	6/30/2008	2106	Klassen Corp.	\$	1,289,000.00
Arvin HS Modernization	6/30/2008	2110	Steve Etchison Backhoe Service	\$	94,500.00
Arvin HS Modernization	6/30/2008	2118	ABC School Equip.	\$	26,000.00
Arvin HS Modernization	6/30/2008	2127	Dorfmeier Masonry, Inc.	\$	84,200.00
Arvin HS Modernization	6/30/2008	2130	HPS Mechanical, Inc.	\$	1,463,401.00
			Sub-Total	\$	16,126,031.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1903	CST Environmental, Inc.	\$	297,800.00
East HS Modernization	6/30/2008	1906	Rudnick Fence	\$	184,000.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1907	Rudy's Landscape & Design	\$	255,620.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1909	O'Neal Masonry	\$	27,500.00
East HS Modernization	6/30/2008	1910	Golden State Steel	\$	1,728,000.00

Kern High School District

Project Name	Bid Advertisment Date	Bid Number	Prime Contractor	Contr	act Amount
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1912	Cuyamaca Const., Inc.	\$	308,000.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1914	J. Alexander Co.	\$	175,130.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1915	Alcal Arcade, DBA Insulcom		142,436.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1916	Garcia Roofing, Inc.	\$	386,180.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1918	Kern Glass & Aluminum	\$	584,280.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1919	Frye Const.	\$	42,018.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1920	Frye Const.	\$	1,702,955.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1921	Visalia Ceramic Tile, Inc.	\$	112,680.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1922	Western Building Materials	\$	135,422.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1924	Wm. B. Saleh Co.	\$	171,707.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1925	JTS Construction, Inc.	\$	155,100.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1928	Taft Plumbing Co.	\$	771,126.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1929	RLH Fire Protection	\$	178,900.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1930	Southern Air Cond.	\$	2,667,000.00
East HS Modernization	5/19/2008	1931	A-C Electric	\$	1,888,000.00
East HS Modernization	6/30/2008	1932	Concrete Cutting Unlimited, Inc.	\$	85,000.00
East HS Modernization	6/30/2008	1933	Valley Tree & Const.	\$	296,000.00
East HS Modernization	6/30/2008	1936	JTS Construction, Inc.	\$	598,750.00
East HS Modernization	6/30/2008	1937	Tumblin Co.	\$	997,000.00
			Sub-Total	\$	13,890,604.00

District Total: \$ 30,016,635.00

Proj	ect Name	Amount	Assessed	Amount Recovered			Explanatio	n		_
East H	ligh School	\$420	0.04	\$420.04	Contracto	or misunderstoo	od 4/10 rule o	of their collec	tive bargainin	g agreeme
						Prompt vo	oluntary corre	ection in a tim	nely manner	
Total Total	- 199	\$420	0.04	\$420.04						
For any amou	nt identified in iter	n B for which	approval of fo	orfeiture was re	quested from	the Labor Comm	nissioner, pleas	e provide the f	ollowing:	
Project		Amount	Assessed				Amount	Recovered		
Name NA	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
Total										
Identify cases	that are or were th	e subject of LO	C § 1742 proc	eedings.		. 			,	
Proj	ect Name		Contractor		Nature of Vic	olation	ODL Case	e#	Current S	tatus
	NA									
Please check one:	any contractor to the second s	✓No		-		·				
. Did you refer	any apprenticeship	violation to th		f Apprenticeshi	p Standards (DAS)?				

T	^	'n	٠.	•	7	_
u	L	c		3.	π	J.

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement a Awarding Body covered in th	is report).	-	ed, attaching as	s many sheets as neces	ssary, and <i>please comple</i>	te separate forms for each
Awarding Body: Lompoc U						
A. List projects handled by LO	CP within the past 12	months.			<u>, </u>	
Project Na		Bid Advertises			ontractor	Contract Amount
Los Berros Elem		3/19/1			tric Service	\$160,000.00
Cabrillo HS Si	te vvork	5/4/1	U	Smith Elec	tric Service	\$263,000.00
						0.400.000.00
Total						\$423,000.00
B. Summary of all wages and	penalties assessed ar	nd/or recovered.				
Project Name	(who directly	Contractor employed the rker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
NA					Yes No	
				-	Yes No	***************************************
					Yes No	
					Yes No	
					Yes No	
					Yes No	······································
Total			\$0.00	\$0.00		

Proj	ect Name	Amount	Assessed	Amount Recovered			Explanatio	n		
Lompo	c Unified SD	0.0	00	0.00						
l'otal		0.0	00	0.00						
. For any amou	nt identified in iter	m B for which	approval of f	orfeiture was re	quested from	the Labor Comm	nissioner, pleas	e provide the f	ollowing:	_
Project		Amount	Assessed					Recovered		
Name NA	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
Total										
Identify cases	that are or were th	e subject of LO	C § 1742 proc	ceedings.						
. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings. Project Name Contractor Nature of Violation ODL Case # Current Status					tatus					
	NA									
Please check one:	any contractor to t	✓No		-		?				
Did you refer	any apprenticeship	violation to the		f Apprenticeshi	p Standards (DAS)?				

LC	P.,	A	R	.3

	ion School District				
A. List projects handled by LCP v					Contract Amount
Project Name	Bid Advertis	sement Date	ement Date Prime Contractor		
See Attached Work	sneet				See Attached Worksheet
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
Total					\$4,026,169.28
. Summary of all wages and pen	alties assessed and/or recovered.		Ÿ		
Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
11110D D: 1 Off	Angel Construction	\$58,804.31	Pending	✓Yes No	Failure to pay "Fringe Benefit
_HUSD - Dist. Office			Donding	✓Yes □No	Failure to pay "Fringe Benefit
	Angel Construction	\$35,358.56	Pending	<u> </u>	
LHUSD - LH Elem.	Angel Construction Angel Construction	\$35,358.56 \$19,623.51	Pending	Yes No	Failure to pay "Fringe Benefit
LHUSD - LH Elem.					Failure to pay "Fringe Benefits
LHUSD - LH Elem.				✓Yes No	Failure to pay "Fringe Benefit
LHUSD - Dist. Office LHUSD - LH Elem. LHUSD - AM Thomas MS				✓Yes No	Failure to pay "Fringe Benefit

Kern County Office of Education

6 LC 1771.5 enforcement activities (CONTINUED)

A. List projects handled by LCP within the last 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisment Date		Contract Amount
Lost Hills SD - District Office	10/30/2008	H & H Surveying, Inc.	13,440.00
		G.M. Construction	136,962.39
		Maranatha Landscape	44,382.00
		Newton Construction & Managment, Inc	79,047.00
		Lockwood General Engineering, Inc.	222,764.00
		McClard Masonry Construction, Inc.	59,520.00
		Medford Construction, Inc.	78,760.00
		Hanes & Associates	173,366.39
		Raymond Cowden	46,350.00
		Insulcom DBA Alcal-Arcade	10,300.00
		Garcia Roofing	41,980.00
		Kern Glass & Aluminum Company	38,175.07
		Frye Construction, Inc.	96,130.00
		Gregory Tile, Inc,	20,124.00
		CJM Jr. Suspended Ceilings	24,600.00
		New Image Commercial Flooring, Inc.	15,685.00
		Ro's Precise Painting, Inc.	23,192.00
		John Pence Building Specialties, Inc	45,352.79
		Pyramid Systems, Inc.	35,991.00
		AP Mechanical, Inc.	134,926.01
		Air Mechanical Heating & Air, Inc.	72,719.00
		A-C Electric Company	369,738.00
Sub-Total			\$1,783,504.65
Lost Hills SD - Lost Hills Elem	10/30/2008	A-C Electric Company	175,115.00
		Air Mechanical Heating & Air, Inc.	96,198.00
		AP Mechanical, Inc.	98,745.50
		Pyramid Systems, Inc.	68,000.00
		John Pence Building Specialties, Inc	38,100.00
		Ro's Precise Painting, Inc.	6,442.00
		New Image Commercial Flooring, Inc.	24,923.00
		CJM Jr. Suspended Ceilings	42,600.00
		Gregory Tile, Inc,	34,814.00
		Frye Construction, Inc.	99,397.00
	-	Kern Glass & Aluminum Company	16,100.00
		Garcia Roofing	57,672.13

Kern County Office of Education

6 LC 1771.5 enforcement activities (CONTINUED)

A. List projects handled by LCP within the last 12 months.

Project Name

Project Name	Bid Advertisment Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Lost Hills SD - Lost Hills Elem	10/30/2008	Insulcom DBA Alcal-Arcade	13,240.00
		Raymond Cowden	41,200.00
		Hanes & Associates	131,000.00
		Medford Construction, Inc.	12,225.00
		Lockwood General Engineering, Inc.	188,587.00
		G.M. Construction	87,000.00
		H & H Surveying, Inc.	3,360.00
Sub-Total			\$1,234,718.63
Lost Hills SD - A.M. Thomas MS	10/30/2008	A-C Electric Company	136,208.00
		Air Mechanical Heating & Air, Inc.	70,153.00
		AP Mechanical, Inc.	29,374.00
		Pyramid Systems, Inc.	45,580.00
		John Pence Building Specialties, Inc	13,250.00
		Ro's Precise Painting, Inc.	9,624.00
		New Image Commercial Flooring, Inc.	17,098.00
		CJM Jr. Suspended Ceilings	33,200.00
		Gregory Tile, Inc,	1,050.00
		Frye Construction, Inc.	59,854.00
		Kern Glass & Aluminum Company	9,890.00
		Garcia Roofing	39,440.00
		Insulcom DBA Alcal-Arcade	8,000.00
		Raymond Cowden	21,940.00
		Hanes & Associates	215,000.00
		Medford Construction, Inc.	65,850.00
		Lockwood General Engineering, Inc.	190,025.00
		G.M. Construction	38,000.00
		H & H Surveying, Inc.	4,410.00
Sub-Tetal			\$1,007,946.00
0.00			

Total: \$4,026,169.28

Projec	t Name	Amount .	Assessed	Amount Recovered			Explanation	n		
N	۱A	0.0	00	0.00						
										
Total		0.0	00	0.00						
			<u> </u>							
D. For any amount	identified in item	B for which	approval of	forfeiture was re	equested from	the Labor Comm	iissioner, pleas	se provide the fo	llowing:	
Project		Amount	Assessed				Amount	Recovered		
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813		Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
LH - Dist Office		\$11,060.00	\$50.00	_	\$57,504.58					Pending
LH - Elem		\$7,350.00	0.00		\$34,638.19					Pending
LH - AM Thomas		\$4,515.00	0.00	\$14,774.27	\$19,289.27					Pending
Total		\$22,925.00	\$50.00	\$100,322.04	\$111,432.04					Pending
E. Identify cases th	at are or were the	subject of LC	C § 1742 pro	ceedings.	F	orfeiture reques	ts submitted	to DLSE Regio	onal Manager	for approval
Projec	t Name		Contractor		Nature of Vio	lation	ODL Case	e #	Current	Status
1	JA									
		TIT ET HEIST								
	.,									
F. Did you refer an	y contractor to th	e Labor Com	missioner for	r debarment per	LC § 1777.1?					
Please check one:	Yes	✓No								
	affected contracto	or(s) or subcor	ntractor(s) an	nd date(s) of refe	erral:					
If yes, identify a		- ,		.,		***************************************				
If yes, identify a										
	v annrenticeshin	violation to th	ne Division o	of Annrenticechi	n Standarde (I	24270				
G. Did you refer an				of Apprenticeshi	p Standards (I	DAS)?				
G. Did you refer an	y apprenticeship Yes affected contractor	✓ No)		Traii	oas)? ning Fee	Recov	erv: Per	ndina	

<i>LCP-AR3</i>	L	\boldsymbol{c}	P.	A	R	3
----------------	---	------------------	----	---	---	---

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement ac Awarding Body covered in this Awarding Body:	s report).	-	ted, attaching as m	any sheets as neces	ssary, and <i>please complete</i>	e separate forms for each
A. List projects handled by LC						
Project Nan	***************************************	Bid Advertiser	ment Date	Prime C	ontractor	Contract Amount
High School 2 story clas	ssroom addition	1/28/1	10	SC An	derson	\$3,080,434.00
Total						\$3,080,434.00
B. Summary of all wages and p	penalties assessed and	d/or recovered.				
Project Name	Affected C (who directly worl	employed the	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
None				-	Yes No	
					Yes No	
					Yes No	***************************************
					Yes No	
					Yes No	
					Yes No	
Total	8 (1.14) N (1.15)		0.00	0.00		

Proj	ect Name	Amount	Assessed	Amount Recovered			Explanatio	n		
McFarland Un	ified School Dist	rict 0.	00	0.00						
High School	2 story classrooi	m								
Total	····	0.	00	0.00						
). For any amou	nt identified in iter	n B for which	approval of	forfeiture was r	equested from	the Labor Comm	issioner, pleas	se provide the f	ollowing:	
Project	I C 01557(/)	Amount	Assessed	777		F. C. 0. 1 ## (/)	Amount		T T T	T
Name NA	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	3 Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
147.										

Total						<u> </u>			·	
. Identify cases	that are or were the	e subject of LO	C § 1742 pro	oceedings.						
Proj	ect Name		Contractor	Nature of Violation			ODL Case	e #	Current Status	
	NA									
. Did you refer a	any contractor to th	ne Labor Com	missioner fo	r debarment per	LC § 1777.1	?				
Please check one:	Yes	✓No								
If yes, identify	y affected contract	or(s) or subcor	atractor(s) a	nd date(s) of ref	erral:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
6. Did you refer	any apprenticeship	violation to tl	ne Division	of Apprenticesh	ip Standards	(DAS)?				
					_					
Please check one:	Yes	√ N∘	0							

TCD	Δ	ъ	
LL-	~	к	•7

Awarding Body covered in this re Awarding Body: Richland	School District	ested, attaching as n	nany sheets as neces	ssary, and <i>please comple</i>	te separate forms for each
A. List projects handled by LCP v					
Project Name		isement Date	Prime C	Contractor	Contract Amount
See attached work	sheet				See attached worksheet
					3
Total					\$4,020,932.00
B. Summary of all wages and pen	nalties assessed and/or recovered.				
Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Redwood Elem Modernization	Foam Experts	\$594.30	\$594.30	Yes No	Underpayment due to missed increase
				Yes No	
				Yes No	
				Yes No	
				Yes No	
				Yes No	
Total		\$594.30	\$594.30	П 193 П 10	

Richland School District

6 LC 1771.5 enforcement activities (CONTINUED)
A. List projects handled by LCP within the last 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisment Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	S.D. Taylor Equip	\$64,378.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Blake Arnold Const.	\$156,643.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Wysong Construction	\$54,000.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Don Kinzel Const.	\$157,000.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Pyramid Systems	\$257,000.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Foam Experts Roofing	\$444,000.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Omega Const.	\$182,000.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	MidState Sheetmetal	\$835,500.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Kern Glass & Aluminum Company	\$172,000.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Brent Schwebel Plastering	\$381,999.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Western Building Materials Co.	\$128,630.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Elite Painting	\$48,880.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Gregory Tile	\$13,656.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Taft Plumbing	\$118,871.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	Cool Air Supply	\$300,725.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	AC Electric	\$139,150.00
Redwood Elem Modernization	4/2/2009	AC Electric	\$566,500.00
Total			\$4,020,932.00

Proj	ect Name	Amount	Assessed	Amount Recovered		Explanation					
Redwood Ele	em Modernizatio	on \$59	4.30	\$594.30	Contractor inadvertently missed pre-determined increase						
						Prompt vo	oluntary corre	ection in a tim	ely manner		
Total		\$59	4.30	\$594.30							
. For any amou	nt identified in iter	n B for which	approval of fo	rfeiture was rec	quested from	the Labor Comm	issioner, pleas	se provide the fo	ollowing:	_	
Project		Amount	Assessed				Amount	Recovered			
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	
NA											
Total											
. Identify cases	that are or were th	e subject of Lo	C § 1742 proce	eedings.				<u> </u>		<u>-</u>	
Proj	ect Name		Contractor	Nature of Violation			ion ODL Case #			Current Status	
	NA			_							
. Did you refer	any contractor to t	he Labor Com	missioner for o	lebarment per l	LC § 1777.1	?					
Please check one:	Yes	✓No									
If yes, identif	y affected contract	or(s) or subco	ntractor(s) and	date(s) of refer	rral:	··········					
. Did you refer	any apprenticeship	violation to the	he Division of	Apprenticeship	Standards (DAS)?					
	Yes	\sqrt{N}									

T.	CP	. 4	P	3
	~	~~	_	·

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activ Awarding Body covered in this re	eport).	-	sted, attaching as	s many sheets as	necessary, and	please comple	ete separate forms for each
Awarding Body: Rosedale Ur	nion School Dis	trict					
A. List projects handled by LCP v	within the past 12	months.					
Project Name		Bid Advertise	ement Date	Pri	me Contractor	Contract Amount	
See attached work	See attached worksheet						See attached worksheet

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							

			60			55 C - 12 C -	
Total							\$4,526,319.00
B. Summary of all wages and pen	nalties assessed and	l/or recovered.					
Project Name	Affected C (who directly e work	employed the	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovere	t ed Requ	proval of orfeiture ested from Labor missioner?	Description of Violation
Rosedale MS Multi-Purpose Bldg	Kitcor Co	rporation	\$2,333.04	4 Pendir	ng √ Yes	□No	Underpayment / Un-reported hours
					Yes	□No	
		Notes VEAL 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Yes	□No	
					Yes	No	
					Yes	□No	
					☐Yes	No	
Total			\$2,333.04	Pending	g		

Rosedale Union School District

6 LC 1771.5 enforcement activities (CONTINUED)
A. List projects handled by LCP within the last 12 months.

Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg. Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008 8/13/2008	Holders Air Cond Spring Mountain Industries	\$271,395.00 \$705,500.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	RLH Fire Protection	\$56,800.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	P.I.E. Const.	\$140,000.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	King's Cabinets	\$42,000.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Kitcor Corp.	\$157,571.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Decorator Draperies	\$5,946.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Advanced Equip Co.	\$18,890.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	R.B.Moss	\$37,881.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Elite Painting	\$49,500.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Western Building Materials	\$51,700.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Hudson Tile	\$36,733.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Patterson Const.	\$260,769.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Kern Glass	\$14,160.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Graham Prewitt	\$138,000.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Insulcom Contractors	\$29,743.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Ray Cowden	\$214,000.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Hanes & Associates	\$479,000.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Medford Construction	\$344,900.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Cornerstone Masonry	\$36,697.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Tumblin Company	\$509,000.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	JTS Construction	\$362,000.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	C& H Fencing	\$32,495.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	Kern Asphalt P.I.E. Const.	\$95,628.00 \$146,795.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008		\$228,450.00
Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg. Rosedale Middle Multi-Purpose Bldg.	8/13/2008	The Dirt Guys Fresno Landscaping	\$60,766.00
Project Name	Bid Advertisment Date 8/13/2008		Contract Amount

C. For any amount	identified in iten	n B for which	approval of fo	orfeiture n	ot req	quested from th	ne Labor Comn	nissioner, pleas	e explain below	.	
Projec	t Name	Amount .	Assessed	Amoun Recover				Explanation	on		
N	1A	0.0	00	0.00							
Total		0.0	00	0.00							
D. For any amount	identified in iter	n B for which	approval of fo	orfeiture w	vas re	quested from t	he Labor Com	missioner, plea	se provide the fe	ollowing:	
Project		Amount	Assessed					Amount			
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wage		Total	LC § 1776(g)	***************************************	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
Rosedale Middle School		\$1,200.00		\$1,085	5.28	\$2,285.28		Pending		Pending	\$2,285.28
Multi-Purpose Bldg.			·								
Total		\$1,200.00	,, 14 18 L.A.	\$1,085	5.28	\$2,285.28		0.00		0.00	\$2,285.28
E. Identify cases th	at are or were th	e subject of LC	C § 1742 proc	eedings.			Conf	ractor has r	equested a t	formal hear	ing
Projec	t Name		Contractor]	Nature of Viol	ation	ODL Cas	se #	Current	Status
Rosedale Mul	ti-purpose Bld	g k	(itcor Corp	М	Misclassification/Under reporting of hours		orting of hours	10-0086-F	PWH	Pending se	ttlement
F. Did you refer an Please check one: If yes, identify a	y contractor to the second year. Yes affected contract	✓No			-						
G. Did you refer an Please check one: If yes, identify a	ny apprenticeship Yes affected contract	√ No	0	••	•	`	OAS)?				

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activ. Awarding Body covered in this re	eport).	-	ted, attaching as m	any sheets as neces	sary, and <i>please comple</i>	te separate forms for each
Awarding Body: Santa Maria Joint	Union High School [District				
A. List projects handled by LCP v	within the past 12	months.				
Project Name		Bid Advertises	ment Date	Prime C	Contractor	Contract Amount
Delta High "Continuation" School		4/2/0	9	Vernon	Edwards	\$4,570,575.26
						Φ4 570 575 00
Total						\$4,570,575.26
B. Summary of all wages and pen	alties assessed an	d/or recovered.				
Project Name	(who directly	Contractor employed the rker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Delta Continuation HS	Costal Tre	ee service	\$854.00	\$854.00	Yes ✓No	Underpayment due to incorrect determination
					Yes No	
					Yes No	
	27/20/11				Yes No	
					Yes No	1
					Yes No	
Total			\$854.00	\$584.00		

Proj	ect Name	Amount .	Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation							
Delta Co	ntinuation HS	\$854	4.00	\$854.00	KCSOS LCP replaced another LCP who was no longer authorized and discovere							
					that the c	ontractor had use	ed an imprope	er determination	. Contractor h	ad comple		
					the work	and was release	ed from the p	roject with full p	oayment. KCS	SOS requi		
otal		\$854	4.00	\$854.00	correction	and the General Co	ontractor requir	ed its sub-contrac	ctor to pay the b	ack wages		
For any amou	nt identified in iten	B for which	approval of	forfeiture was re	equested from	the Labor Comm	issioner, pleas	se provide the fo	llowing:			
Project		Amount	Assessed				Amount			·		
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total		
NA NA			<u></u>									
						_				_		
otal												
	that are or were the		C § 1742 pro		Nature of Vi	s modified by DLS	E Regional M ODL Cas	γ	Current S			
					••••							
Did von rafar	any contractor to th	a Lahar Cam	missioner fo	r dahammant nar	I C S 1777 1	ก						
•			.11155101161 10.	debarment per	LC § 1///.1	•						
Please check one:	Yes	✓No		•• () 0.0	٠							
If yes, identif	y affected contract	or(s) or subcor	ntractor(s) ar	id date(s) of refe	erral:		*****					
Did vou refer	any apprenticeship	violation to tl	ne Division o	of Apprenticeshi	ip Standards ((DAS)?						
,												

•	_		•	D	_
L					
		,	4.	к	•7

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement a Awarding Body covered in the Awarding Body: Ukiah Ur A. List projects handled by LO	is report). nified School Dist	rict	ted, attaching as m	any sheets as nece	ssary, and <i>please complete</i>	separate forms for each	
1	Project Name		ment Date	Prime C	Contractor	Contract Amount	
Ukiah High School Mode	kiah High School Modernization, Phase II		5/27/07		ns Construction	\$17,841,644.85	
Total						\$17,841,644.85	
B. Summary of all wages and	penalties assessed and	or recovered.	Section 2007		ing panggapangang ng panggapang (kap		
Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)		Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation	
NA					Yes No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
					Yes No		
					Yes No		
					Yes No	-	
				, ,	Yes No		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Yes No		
Total							

Project Name Ukiah HS Mod II		Amount	Assessed	Amount Recovered		Explanation					
		0.	00	0.00							
						-					
						-					
otal 0.0		00	0.00								
Ear any amou	nt identified in iter	n D for which	oneroval of	· forfoiture vice re	anastad from	the Labor Comm	viagionon mlogo	a provide the fe	Mayringe		
Project	in identified in iter	Amount	Assessed	Torrenure was re			Amount	Recovered	onowing.		
Name	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775			ges Total			LC § 1813			
NA		¥								Total	
Identify cases	that are or were th		C § 1742 pro		Nature of Vi	olation	ODL Case	e #	Current S	tatus	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Nature of Vi	olation	ODL Case	e#	Current S	tatus	
Identify cases	ect Name				Nature of Vi	olation	ODL Case	e#	Current S	tatus	
Identify cases	ect Name				Nature of Vi	olation	ODL Case	e #	Current S	tatus	
Identify cases Proj	ect Name		Contractor				ODL Case	e #	Current S	tatus	
Identify cases Proj	ect Name NA	he Labor Com	Contractor missioner for				ODL Case	e#	Current S	tatus	
Identify cases Proj Did you refer a	ect Name NA any contractor to the second s	he Labor Com	Contractor missioner fo	or debarment per	LC § 1777.1		ODL Case	e#	Current S	tatus	
Identify cases Proj Did you refer a	ect Name NA any contractor to the	he Labor Com	Contractor missioner fo	or debarment per	LC § 1777.1		ODL Case	e #	Current S	tatus	
Identify cases Proj Did you refer a	ect Name NA any contractor to the Yes y affected contract	he Labor Com	Contractor missioner fo	or debarment per	LC § 1777.1 [.] erral:	?	ODL Case	e#	Current S	tatus	
Identify cases Proj Did you refer a	ect Name NA any contractor to the second s	he Labor Com	Contractor missioner for ntractor(s) a	or debarment per	LC § 1777.1 [.] erral:	?	ODL Case	e#	Current S	tatus	

7. On a separate sheet, provide a certificate of compliance with conflict of interest disclosure requirements by employees and consultants who participate in making governmental decisions (as defined under 2 CCR § 18701) along with the names of LCP personnel who are filing disclosure statements and the agencies with which those statements are being filed.
8. Please update the following information per 8 CCR § 16426(a)(2), (3) and (5) disclosure requirement.
A. Identify the geographical areas in which the program intends to operate and the identity of any public agencies not previously identified in this report with which the program intends to contract to provide labor compliance enforcement.
1. Kern and Adjacent Counties
2. School Districts and other Local Education Authorities (LEA's) that may desire to utilize KCSOS's 3rd party LCP services.
B. State whether the entity shares personnel, management, ownership or other close affiliation with any of the following: (1) any contractor or subcontractor that within the preceding five years has been awarded a public works contract within the geographical area in which the program operates or intends to operate or with any public agency with which the program has contracted or intends to contract to provide labor compliance enforcement; (2) any person or entity who has been the surety on such a contract; (3) any joint labor-management committee established pursuant to the Federal Labor Management Cooperation Act of 1978 (section 175a of Title 29 of the United States Code); or (4) any person or entity who has represented workers employed in the same or similar classifications as those employed for such a contract and who has been engaged in (i) an organizational campaign under the National Labor Relations Act with contractors competing for such contracts or (ii) a jurisdictional dispute with another collective bargaining representative of workers utilized for such contracts.
For each affiliation, please provide the name, address, telephone number, and principal contact person for the person or entity; please identify shared personnel, management, and ownership; and if applicable, please provide a short description of the nature and extent of any other close affiliation:
NA NA
C. Identify the attorney or law firm available to provide legal support for the LCP, and whether the persons or firms providing that support also represent any contractor, subcontractor, surety, or worker representative referred to in the preceding item.
Attorney/Law Firm Name (include address, contact person, and telephone number) Schools Legal Services, 1300 17th St., City Centre, 7th Floor, Bakersfield, CA 93301 Phone: 661/636-4830 / Mr. Christopher P. Burger, Counsel